

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DIEGO MORALES-RAMIREZ,

Petitioner,

v.

WARDEN,

Respondent.

Case No. 2:23-cv-01575-JDP (HC)

ORDER

DIRECTING THE CLERK OF COURT TO
RANDOMLY ASSIGN A DISTRICT JUDGE
TO THIS MATTER

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

THAT THE PETITION BE DISMISSED
WITHOUT PREJUDICE FOR FAILURE TO
PROSECUTE AND FAILURE TO COMPLY
WITH COURT ORDERS AND THAT
RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO DISMISS BE
DENIED AS MOOT

ECF No. 8

OBJECTIONS DUE WITHIN FOURTEEN
DAYS

On February 6, 2024, respondent filed a motion to dismiss. ECF No. 8. On April 4, 2024, after petitioner failed to file an opposition or statement of non-opposition within twenty-one days, I ordered him to show cause within twenty-one days why this action should not be dismissed for his failure to prosecute. ECF No. 9. I notified him that if he wished to continue with this action, he must, within twenty-one days, file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to respondent's motion to dismiss. *Id.* I also warned him that failure to comply with that order

would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. *Id.*

The deadline has passed, and petitioner has neither filed a response to the motion to dismiss nor otherwise responded to the April 4, 2024 order.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall randomly assign a United States District Judge to this case.

Further, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that:

1. This action be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with court orders, for the reasons set forth in the April 4, 2024 order.


2. Respondent's motion to dismiss, ECF No. 8, be denied as moot.

3. The Clerk of Court be directed to close the case.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days of service of these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response shall be served and filed within fourteen days of service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 14, 2024


JEREMY D. PETERSON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE